UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,335	07/05/2001	Peter Bernhard Kaars	US018099	5618	
24737 7590 03/05/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 300		•	CHEA, PHILIP J		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153	,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/900,335	KAARS, PETER BERNHARD		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Philip J. Chea	2153		
	l	. 1		

	00,000,000	100000,1212100	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip J. Chea	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply man	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	136(a) and the appropria	ate extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	✓ will not be entered or b) ☐ wi	ill he entered and an	evolanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ni be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	. /	
13. Other:		5/	
		OLENHON D DIDGE	500
	CHO	GLENTON B. BURGI ERVISORY PATENT E	XAMINER
		CHNOLOGY CENTER	



Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. The Examiner believes that the Jones reference teaches the broad limitations of the claims. As seen in paragraph [0039], multiple sources (identified by watermarks see paragraph [0040]) can be searched (i.e. a plurality of sources other than the sender). The claim only requires verifying with a plurality of sources whether content information is available from at least one of the sources other than the sender. Jones accomplishes this by recovering content from multiple sources so one source among the multiple sources can be the sender and the rest are considered at least one of the sources other than the sender. Thereby verifying with a plurality of sources whether content information is available from at least one source other than the sender.